may be ordered to be effected during the survey on the application of the khatedar or his co-occupants. Such sub-divisions though standing in the khate of another will enable co-occupants and others to get their names separately registered on application to Revenue or Civil Courts.

Reply of Government.—After careful consideration the minima have been recently reduced by Government in their Order No. R. 3366-75—L. R. 590-05-8, dated 29th November 1907. They cannot again be reduced further so soon. As has been explained in Government Order No. R 8139-48—L. R. 351-07-4, dated 13th June 1908, the sub-division of survey numbers cannot be allowed merely to enable a person not possessing the entire interest in a survey number to pay only the kandayam on his share. He can get his interests protected sufficiently by getting his name registered in the Special Notice Register or having his share entered in the khate under Rule 75, if his case falls under it. Sub-division subject to the prescribed minima is freely permitted during the revision survey, and even after it is completed, if it is found that any survey number is of an inconvenient size, the Survey Department will afford the necessary facilities for its sub-division.

SUBJECT No. 120.

Boundary marks for sub-divisions of survey numbers.

Mr. Venkoba Rao, Hole-Narsipur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—When a portion of a survey number is sub-divided and given out for cultivation, the remaining extent is not marked off by boundary marks. When this portion is applied for and given out for cultivation, disputes arise between the two holders owing to the non-existence of marks defining their lands. Hence all sub-divided portions may be provided with proper boundary marks.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 121.

Substitution of stone bandhs for mud ones as in Madras.

Mr. Lakshminarasimhachar, Chamrajnagar Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that during the Revenue Survey operations, the system obtaining in Madras of substituting stone bandhs for earthen ones may be introduced, as this will prevent disputes between raiyats.

Reply of Government.—The subject was brought forward in 1906, and it was finally decided that the proposal could not be adopted. (*Vide* Subject No. 114 of 1906.)

SUBJECT No. 122.

Reduction of assessment during the re-survey and settlement.

(1) Mr. D. Venkataramaiya, Bangalore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—(1) Fluctuating rain-fall, the silting up of tank beds and the erroneous calculation of achkats when compared with the existing capacities of tanks are tending to make agriculture a very precarious means of living in the case of those who do not depend upon ragi cultivation. Except in the cases where lands are watered from river channels and in the case of lands under big tanks, where the water-supply does not fail, the Government may be pleased to enunciate for